Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6945 Case file # 95-216364.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in trial. Testimony transcript provided.

,	IND	EPEN	DENT	CA	SE R	EVII	EW RI	EPORT	
Independent Review conducted by:		Steve Ro	bertso	On	· .				
Area(s) of Expert	ise: Hair	and Fiber	rs					·	
Review commenc	ed at: 11:	30 AM	(Time)	· ),	11/06/	01	(Dat	te)	
File #: 95-216	364								
T = \$ = = + + (-).	DC N520						00212		
Laboratory #(s):		-N5300, PC-N5428					00317		
	D-770629		20. 00504	017			<u>.</u>		<del></del>
	90221066	, 903270. 	29, 90304				<u>-</u>		
			Exam	iner(s	) & Sy	mbols	3	•	
	Revi	ewed ]	Not Revie	wed				Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ ,	. X	· ·						, o	_ ·
MU, QA, NL		l	Χロ					n	
PR, RB			Χロ	٠.		•		0	0
Trial testimony tran	nscript(s) of	. <u>M</u>	Mat lichael Ma		Revie	wed			
Testimony	Date(s):	Unknov	vn.		Pages	: 3:	23-349		
Laboratory Report(s)	):		•			,			,
Laboratory	Number:	PCN5	300, PCN:	5 <b>428,</b> ]	D77062	9010	Date:	July 27, 197	<u> 17                                    </u>
Laboratory Number: 902210			066,90327029, 90504017				Date:	May 22, 197	79
Laboratory	Number:	003170	004				Date:	March 19, 1	980
Examiner Bench No	otes of:	RQ and	i unknowi	a techr	nician(s)				
Laboratory	Number:	PCN53	00		<u>.</u>			•	
		902210	)66						•
		003170	04						
	,	]	Page	1	of _				
•	•		Initia	.1s:	Shi			CF	RM - 13966

## Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

						<del></del>
File #: 95-216364	,					
#1: With microscopic has	ir comparison, c	one cannot de	termine from the	e notes that t	he examinat	ion was conducted
in an appropriate manner	•					
#2: Documentation is poo	or. The notes are	e not dated ar	nd are in pencil a	and not ink.	Abbreviation	is are used to
describe the microscopic	characteristics	of the hair. T	hese abbreviatio	ns are diffici	ult to interpr	et. There is no
documentation by the tec	hnician that hai	r was recover	ed from Q2, Q3	, Q6, Q13 oı	Q23 as stat	ed in the report.
Three out of five pages of	f the technician	's notes do no	t have any lab n	umber on the	em. Also, se	 e #4 below.
#4: The report and the tes	timony is that o	ne hair recov	ered at the scene	e (Q2) matcł	nes the suspe	ect's head hair. The
notes read "Q2 *1 It br H	H CO sim to K	4(v) dissim to	K1 (v)". The re	port and the	notes clearl	y indicate K4 is
the suspect's head hair sta	ndard. This is a	pparently a tr	anscription erro	r and should	read "sim to	
		<del></del>			<del></del>	
	<del></del>					
Review completed at:	12:45 PM	(Time),	11/06/01	(	Date)	
,		_			,	
Total time spent conducting	ıg review (to ne	earest 1/4 hou	r): 1.25	hr.		
•						
hereby certify that I conducted fully documented on this		-		l manner and pages.	that the res	ults of my review
				F-8000		•
		Al	u Koh	when	· 	11/06/2001
•		7	(Signature)			(Date)
•						,
						<i>:</i>
		•				
			·			

Page 3 of 3

Initials: 

M